

Randomization of Genes by PCR Mutagenesis

R. Craig Cadwell and Gerald F. Joyce

Department of Chemistry and Molecular Biology, The Scripps Research Institute, La Jolla, California 92037

A modified polymerase chain reaction (PCR) was developed to identify those random polynucleotide-induced genes. The modified reaction was used to decrease the fidelity of the polymerase during DNA synthesis without significantly decreasing the level of amplification achieved in the PCR. The resulting PCR products were then cloned to produce random mutant libraries or transcribed directly as a 77-mer in a linearized *Xba*I plasmid, while the appropriate PCR primers were used for mutagenesis. The genes that encode the phenotype, as always with a mutation rate of about 6.4/10⁶ (95% CI) per polynucleotide, are determined by sequence analysis. There are no strong preferences with respect to the type of base substitution. The number of mutations per DNA sequence follows a Poisson distribution and the mutations are randomly distributed throughout the amplified sequence.

The technique of *in vitro* mutagenesis allows one to probe structurally and functionally important regions within cloned genes. Random mutagenesis, coupled with a screening method, is especially useful when functionally important positions are not well known. Several methods have been utilized to introduce random mutations into cloned genes including chemical mutagenesis,^{1,2,3} ionophoresis of nucleotide analogs,^{4,5} passage through inactivated bacteriophage particles,^{6,7} incorporation of a foreign gene,⁸ and misreading of a template,⁹ as well as inaccurate copying of a polymerase, as the final step in the synthesis of a new strand.¹⁰ In the most accurate because of its simplicity and versatility.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Summary

Analytical DNA polymerase was purchased from Cetus, Kailo, Finnland, and T4 polynucleotide kinase was from New England Biolabs and T4 DNA ligase, Eco-MAT⁺, reverse transcriptase, and Sequenase (version 2.0) kit were from United States Biochemical. Buffered solutions of dNTPs and NTBs were purchased from Pharmacia. PCR primers, 5'-CTG-CAGAACTTCAACCGACTCAGTAAGA-AGGAAGAGTAGTATGAGGC-3' and 5'-CCAACTCATGTATCCAGTACATGGTCGAAA-TGATTC-3' and sequencing primer compatible with the pEC 18 plasmid, 5'-GTAAACACGACGCCGATC-3' and 5'-CATATGATCACTGATTCTA-3', were purchased from Operon Technologies and purified by polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis and ethanol extraction (this manuscript), [1993a,b-d] or ADP (1000 ChemGen) kits from Amersham, & all other DNAse-pure was obtained from

polymerase chain reaction (PCR) was performed by T.A. Cech and cloning plasmids pUC18 (M22A-P3)⁽²⁰⁾ was provided by D.J. Decker. T7 RNA polymerase⁽²¹⁾ was prepared according to a modified version of a procedure originally developed for *S. E. coli* RNA polymerase.⁽²²⁾

Preparation of Wild-type cDNA

Plasmid p771.21 was transcribed as previously described²⁸ and the resulting RNA was purified by polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis and subsequent Sephadex chromatography. The purified RNA was used to synthesize cDNA subunits. Typically by an isothermal amplification procedure,²⁹ the amplification mixture contained 50 fmol of RNA, 100 micromoles (10%) PCr primers (see Materials), 10 mM Tris-HCl, 80 mM KCl, 5 mM dithiothreitol, 50 mM Tris-HCl (pH 7.5), 3 mM (each) Mg, 0.5 mM (each) dATP, dTTP, dCTP, and dGTP, and 100 units of T7 RNA polymerase and 100 units of T7 RNA polymerase in a 100- μ l volume, which was digested at 37°C for 2 h. The RNA was degraded by alkaline hydrolysis and the cDNA was purified by electrophoresis and a 5% polyacrylamide gel run, gel and subsequent affinity chromatography on Du Pont Nucleosil. The 754-d cDNA was quantitated spectrophotometrically.

Polymers and Chain Reaction

The standard reaction condition was compared to four magnetic reaction conditions. All reaction mixtures contained 20 mM DMSO, 30 proteins (each), PCR primers (see Materials), 50 mM KCl, 10 mM Tris (pH 8.3), and 0.01% gelatin in a 100- μ l volume, which was subjected to an isopycnic thermal cycle for 50 cycles of 94°C for 1 min, 45°C for 1 min, and 72°C for 1 min. We did not employ a hot start procedure and did not carry out a post-amplification reaction to the end of the last cycle. In addition to the above components, the standard reaction mixture contained 1.5 mM MgCl₂, 0.25 units of the polymerase, and 0.2 mM dNTPs. The standard reaction mixture (hereinafter designated "standard") containing 1 mM MgCl₂, 0.5 units of the polymerase, and 0.2 mM dNTPs was used as a control. The concentration of the four dNTPs was adjusted to 0.1 mM to prevent precipitation. PCR products were purified by chloroform:isoamyl alcohol extraction and ethanol precipitation. Yields were estimated by a

considering a small aliquot of the reaction mixture as a 25% aliquot and not visualizing the ribbedium bromide-ethanol products in comparison to known amounts of DRL.

Granting of PDP: Products

To facilitate restriction digestion, 25 ng of PCR product DNA was transformed into a competent *E. coli*/ligation reaction as previously described [6]. The enzymes were then inactivated, the buffer was adjusted to 50 mM NaCl, and the DNA was digested with *NcoI* and *HindIII*. The pCIS (Δ P_{LACZ}) plasmid was similarly digested with *EcoRI* and *HindIII* and purified by low-melting-point agarose gel. This vector contains two large deletions in the thymosin gene that were PCR amplified, making it easy to distinguish vector recombination products from those that had incorporated the PCR fragment. The recombinant-digested PCR fragment was incorporated into the target vector by ligation within low-retarding point agarose. (or) The resulting plasmid DNA was used to transform competent DH5αF' cells, in which were grown on ampicillin-containing plates.

Individual colonies were picked and

DNA was prepared by a boiling lysa multiplex protocol⁴¹ and screened for the presence of insert by restriction di-
gestions.

DNA Sequencing

cDNA were sequenced by the chain-termination method as described using recombinant primers that flank the cloned insert (see Fig. 1). In all cases, sequencing reactions utilized modified T7 DNA polymerase (Sequenase 2.0, USB) and Pfu(I)-cholesterol-modified T7 RNA polymerase (Sequenase 2.0, USB).

1857

The first compared the standard PCA to the multivariate PCA described by Teng and co-workers.²⁰ The latter protocol employs several modifications relative to the standard PCA that might be considered enigmatic, including: (1) increased concentration of Tag polymer; (2) increased extraction time; (3)

Increased consumption of MgCl_2 (4) and addition of Cl_2 and MnCl_2 to the reaction mixture, and (5) increased (1) and (2) contribution of dGTP, dCTP, and dTTP to primer with standard (0.2 mM) concentration of dATP. The last two modifications are expected to have very distinct impact on error rate.

only) evolution in 3171 nt/cytosides (distances were unweighted). Thus, based on a one-tailed *t*-test, the error rate is $<0.01\%$ per position (95% C.I.). Total error rates for each bold mutation had occur during the PCR and misreading that occur during our purifying procedure. If it is compared with published values obtained under similar reaction conditions, we are under similar conditions (see below) and establishing a baseline for the present study. To obtain a more precise estimate of the error rate for the standard PCR, we must either sequence a very large number of nucleotides or, as has been done in the past, run to in vitro selection studies to screen a large population of DNA sequences for the presence of a specific mutation. In general, direct sequencing is impractical unless the mutation rate is above 0.5% per position per

We used a multiplexed PCR based on "cloning" conditions and obtained an overall mutation rate of $1.94\% \pm 0.22\%$ per position per PCR (95% C.L. $n = 5000$). This is in reasonable agreement with the published value of 2% in *Escherichia coli*. However, there was a substantial excess of A to G and T to C mutations, resulting in a GC bias of 0.53 mutations. The probability of mutation at an A to T position was $2.14\% \pm 0.14\%$, while the probability of mutation at a G to C position was only $0.37\% \pm 0.24\%$ (95% C.L.). This bias can be understood in terms of the competition between AATP and dATP for base-pairing at T positions during a DNA template (see Discussion). Along with the special circumstances in which it is desirable to apply GC pressures while sequencing a gene. Moreover, we wish to address the broader need for a universal technique that does not rely on sequence bias.

unlabeled concentrations of the two dNTPs on the ability of T4 DNA polymerase showed that the reaction could be increased only by increasing the dGTP:dATP ratio.¹¹ Predictably, this condition leads to an excess of A → T → C changes. The same limitation

67. Gelfand, D.N. and T.J. White, 1990. Possible massive DNA polymorphism in *PCV* associated with the development and progression of the disease in adult toweled and grandchildless (Jett, M.L. Jett, D.J. Gelfand, J.J. Smith, and T.J. White), pp. 129-141. *Adv. Can. Res.* San Diego.